

•	e colullib	na	
		Report: Graph Distrib	ution
Course		Literature, Politics, Tradition After Stalin (RUSSG6039_001_2010_3)	
Instruc		Stanton Rebecca	
Evaluat	ion:	A&S Course Evaluations: 1 Instructor & 1 TA Form (Fall 2010)	
Dates:		December 06, 2010 - December 15, 2010	
No. of Respon		4	
	Students:	9	
	t Completed:		
		tion Instructor Effectiveness	
1: CI	ear present	tation of subject matter	n =4
Re	ebecca Stan	nton	
Po	oor	0%(0)	
Fa	air	25%(1)	
Go	bod	0% (0)	
Ve	ery Good	25% (1)	
E	kcellent	50% (2)	
No	ot Applicable	0%(0)	
2: In	structor's a	ibility to help clarify course material	n =4
Re	ebecca Stan	nton	
Po	oor	0%(0)	
Fa	air	0%(0)	
G	bod	50% (2)	
Ve	ery Good	0% (0)	
E	kcellent	50% (2)	
No	ot Applicable	0%(0)	
3: In	structor's a	bility to encourage student participation effectively	n =4
Re	ebecca Stan	nton	
Po	oor	0%(0)	
Fa	air	25%(1)	
Go	bood	0% (0)	
Ve	ery Good	50% (2)	
E	kcellent	25% (1)	

	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
4:	Instructor's respons	iveness to student questions, opinions and criticisms	n =4
4.	Rebecca Stanton	iveness to student questions, opinions and criticisms	11 —4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	25% (1)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	
	Excellent	75% (3)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
	пос Арріїсавіе		
5:	Instructor's ability to	stimulate intellectual curiosity	n =4
	Rebecca Stanton		
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	25% (1)	
	Excellent	75% (3)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
6:	Instructor's ability to	o raise challenging questions	n =4
	Rebecca Stanton		
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	25% (1)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	
	Excellent	75% (3)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
7:	Overall effectivenes	s of the Instructor	n =4
	Rebecca Stanton		
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	25% (1)	
	Very Good	25% (1)	
	Excellent	50% (2)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	

TA E	Effectiveness			
1:	TA's ability to raise c	nallenging question	ns	
				TA Count = 4
	Poor	0%(0)		
	Fair	0%(0)		
	Good	0% (0)		
	Very Good	0% (0)		
	Excellent	0% (0)		
	Not Applicable	100)%(4)	
2:	TA's ability to help cla	arify course materi	al	
				TA Count = 4
	Poor	0%(0)		
	Fair	0%(0)		
	Good	0% (0)		
	Very Good	0% (0)		
	Excellent	0% (0)		
	Not Applicable	100	0%(4)	
3:	TA's ability to encour	age student partic	pation effectively	
				TA Count = 4
	Poor	0%(0)		
	Fair	0%(0)		
	Good	0% (0)		
	Very Good	0% (0)		
	Excellent	0% (0)		
	Not Applicable	100)%(4)	
4:	TA's responsiveness	to student questio	ns, opinions and criticisms	
				TA Count = 4
	Poor	0%(0)		
	Fair	0%(0)		
	Good	0% (0)		
	Very Good	0% (0)		
	Excellent	0% (0)		
	Not Applicable	100	0%(4)	

_			
5:	TA's feedback on ass	ignments and examinations	TA Count = 4
	Door	■ 0%(∩)	TA COUIT = 4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	
	Excellent	0% (0)	
	Not Applicable	100%(4)	
6:	TA's ability to commu	unicate effectively with students	
			TA Count = 4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	
	Excellent	0% (0)	
	Not Applicable	100%(4)	
7:	Overall effectiveness	of the TA	
			TA Count = 4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	
	Excellent	0% (0)	
	Not Applicable	100%(4)	
Rea 1:	dings, Materials, Assig The clarity of assignn	nents, and Examinations	n =4
Ι.	Poor	0%(0)	11 -4
	Fair	0%(0)	
		1	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	25% (1)	
	Excellent	75% (3)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
2:	Instructor's feedback	(n =4

	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	25% (1)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	
	Excellent	25% (1)	
	Not Applicable	50%(2)	
3:	Appropriateness of g	grading n	=4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	
	Excellent	50% (2)	
	Not Applicable	50%(2)	
4:	Overall value of read	lings n	=4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	25% (1)	
	Excellent	75% (3)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
5:	Overall value of assi	gnments n	=4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	50% (2)	
	Excellent	50% (2)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
Gen	eral		
1:	Contribution to your	knowledge of the subject matter n	=4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	25% (1)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	

	Excellent	75% (3)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
2:	Contribution to yo	ur interest in the discipline or subject matter	n =4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	50% (2)	
	Excellent	50% (2)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
3:	Contribution to yo	ur capacity for critical evaluation of the subject matter	n =4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	25% (1)	
	Very Good	25% (1)	
	Excellent	50% (2)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
4:	Contribution to the general	e development of your analytical and reasoning skills in	n =4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	0%(0)	
	Good	50% (2)	
	Very Good	0% (0)	
	Excellent	50% (2)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
5:	Overall quality of	the course	n =4
	Poor	0%(0)	
	Fair	25%(1)	
	Good	0% (0)	
	Very Good	25% (1)	
	Excellent	50% (2)	
	Not Applicable	0%(0)	
Add	litional Instruction		
	ssroom Information	1	

Condition and fun	ction of the physical classiconi	11 —4
Poor	0%(0)	
Fair	0%(0)	
Good	50% (2)	
Very Good	50% (2)	
Excellent	0% (0)	
Not Applicable	0%(0)	

School Affiliation

Selection	Freqency
GSAS	4

Year

Major

Expected Grade

Selection	Freqency
A	1
R	1

Report: Comments

n - 1

Course:	Literature, Politics, Tradition After Stalin (RUSSG6039_001_2010_3)
Instructor:	Stanton Rebecca
Evaluation:	A&S Course Evaluations: 1 Instructor & 1 TA Form (Fall 2010)
Dates:	December 06, 2010 - December 15, 2010
No. of Respondents:	4
No. of Students:	9
Percent Completed: 44%	

Comments on Instructor Effectiveness - Rebecca Stanton

Condition and function of the physical classroom

- 1. Amazing! I loved the analysis of the readings and it made me think more critically about readings that at first I simply did not like. However, after my engagement with each reading, I discovered a love for a whole list of new authors I had never read before. Also, I awakened an analyzing literature beast within me that I did not know existed! Can't wait to take another course!
- 2. Rebecca is a clearly talented and inspired academic in literature. The questions she brought to the class were thought provoking and challenging, and she always encouraged us to participate. However sometimes, mostly due to the huge amount of reading covered, it felt as though she was rushing through a text and therefore the ideas covered were a bit scattered and some points were left hanging. I think this could be solved by requiring less reading and spending more time on a discussion of one book rather than, say, several readings per class.
- 3. Professor Stanton is a wealth of knowledge. She was able to illuminate some difficult and elusive texts, as well as firmly place them in their historical and artistic contexts. Class time was lively and interesting.

Comments on TA effectiveness -

Comments on readings, materials, assignments, and examinations

- 1. As I said above, less reading per week would be helpful so we could go into greater depth in discussing the literature come class. Often pieces were left undiscussed or we raced through them.
- 2. Great variety of challenging and enjoyable readings.

Please comment on ways to improve the course

- 1. See above comments.
- 2. Although I recognize how very difficult it is to lead class discussions, I might suggest a slightly different approach to eliciting student responses in class. Since much of the class is, in fact, lecture-driven, perhaps questions addressed to the class could be a bit more focused on developing a particular line of interpretation. Perhaps if a coherent interpretation were offered for discussion, the class would be inspired to contest that interpretation and offer its own, rather than feeling a bit helpless to produce something clever out of the blue.

What were the best aspects of this course

- 1. This class covered an extraordinary amount of material and thereby gave me a real sense of the literary developments that occurred during the time period covered. Moreover, a few possible interpretations of the texts, hinted at in class discussion, completely transformed my initial opinion of the texts, which is always an enjoyable and thought-provoking experience.
- 2. The choice of literature was wonderful; diverse and thought provoking with "classics" as well as controversial pieces of writing.

General comments

If you received instruction for this course by another person who was not listed (or evaluated) above, please provide comments here.

Location of classroom evaluated

- 1. Milbank Hall 227
- 2. Classroom was older and could have been a little nicer. It was in Milbank on the second floor.

Please indicate your declared major or majors (if applicable)

1. MARS-REES